Feedback to the Idea	Puzzle webinar						
1466	out of	11298					
13%	response rate						
Average evaluation (0 - 10)	8,7	8,8	8,7	8,1	8,2	9,5	ſ

Feedback to the Idea Puzzle software											
439	out of	4458									
10% response rate											
Average evaluation (0 - 10)	8,4	7,7									

Feedback on the Idea Puzzle seminar											Feedback on the Idea Puzzle software							
date_seminar	date_evaluation	city	host	objectives	contents	method	materials	interaction	knowledge	clarit	ty to	tal best	suggestions	new seminars	utility	usability	utilty	
																		suggestions
06/05/2022	30/05/2022	Spain	Carlos III University, Madrid, Spain	10	10	10	10	10	10		10	10 The possibility of coherently	May they continue to get better	It seems to me that an area that	10	1	0 For me, the software and the	Personally, I found it easy to
												integrating theoretical, axiological,		would be greatly enriched is the			feedback were essential to see and	use, in addition, the
												methodological and ontological		legal area, generally it is reduced to			stop to think about my research in	additional information
												aspects, giving greater consistency		studies of what we call "Legal		an organized way, which allowed me provided		provided to answer
												and assertiveness to the research		Dogmatics", there are few case study			to clarify ideas. I am also reading to	questions is very clear,
												work. Something that seemed very		works, and there is no qualitative or			deepen those aspects that lack	despite being specific, it is
06/05/2022	28/05/2022	Madrid	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid	8	8	3 7	6	10	10		8	9 Interaction with participants	More sessions	Methodology to research properly	8	3	7 I could learn more about my own	The software is fine, maybe
																	research	the method to answer the
																		questions one by one is a bit
																		slow